STATE OF ARIZONA

MAY 3 1996

DEPT OF INSURANCE BY

In the Matter of)	Docket No	o. 95A-269-INS
AMERICAN CLASSIC REINSURANCE COMPANY (NAIC NO. 69595),)		
Petitioner)	ORDER	

On April 26, 1996, the Office of Administrative
Hearings, through Administrative Law Judge Lewis D. Kowal,
submitted "Recommended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and
Recommended Order", a copy of which is attached and incorporated
by this reference. The Director of the Arizona Department of
Insurance has reviewed these recommendations, and enters the
following order:

- 1. The Recommended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are adopted with the exception of Recommended Finding of Fact 12;
- 2. Recommended Finding of Fact 12 is replaced with the following Finding of Fact: "12. The Department contends that because Petitioner failed to timely file its MD&A Report, it failed to timely file its Annual Statement."
- 3. Petitioner was required to file an MD&A Report as part of the Annual Statement and the Department's assessment of a penalty fee of \$1,620 against the Petitioner for late filing of the MD&A Report was authorized by statute and appropriate.

• •

26 . . .

NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

The aggrieved party may request a rehearing with respect to this Order by filing a written petition with the Office of Administrative Hearings within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth the basis for such relief pursuant to A.A.C. R20-6-114(B).

The final decision of the Director may be appealed to the Superior Court of Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. §20-166.

DATED this 2nd day of May, 1996

Chris Herstam

Director of Insurance

COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered this _____ day of May, 1996, to:

Charles R. Cohen, Deputy Director Gregory Y. Harris, Executive Assistant Director Catherine O'Neil, Assistant Director Kelly Stephens, Deputy Assistant Director Department of Insurance 2910 N. 44th St., Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018

Office of Administrative Hearings 1700 West Washington, Suite 244 Phoenix, AZ 85007

Michael De La Cruz Assistant Attorney General 1275 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007

Thomas E. Haney 1421 E. Thomas Road Phoenix, AZ 85014

arrey Walters Buston

23

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

No. 95A-269-INS

RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND RECOMMENDED ORDER

In the Matter of:

AMERICAN CLASSIC REINSURANCE COMPANY (NAIC No. 69595),

Petitioner.

On March 20, 1996, a hearing took place in the above-referenced matter. Assistant Attorney General Michael De La Cruz appeared on behalf of the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department"). Thomas E. Haney appeared on behalf of Petitioner American Classic Reinsurance Company. The record of this matter closed on April 8, 1996.

Based upon the entire record, including all pleadings, motions, testimony, and exhibits, Administrative Law Judge Lewis D. Kowal prepared the following Recommended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommended Order for consideration by the Director of the Department ("Director").

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. At all times material to this matter, Petitioner was and is licensed by the State of Arizona as a life reinsurer.
- 2. On May 24, 1995, Petitioner filed with the Department its 1994 Annual Statement (the "Annual Statement") which was reviewed by Cary Cook, Solvency Support Unit Supervisor of the Corporate and Financial Affairs Division of the Department.
- 3. In reviewing the Annual Statement, Mr. Cook noticed that Petitioner failed to include the Certificate of Disclosure, Management Discussion & Analysis with transmittal form ("MD&A Report") and Holding Company Registration Statement. However, Petitioner had previously filed with the Department its Certificate of Disclosure.
- 4. Mr. Cook credibly testified that the MD&A Report is a document which the Department considers along with an insurance company's Annual Statement in evaluating an insurance company's compliance with the solvency provisions of A.R.S., Title 20.

- 5. On June 20, 1995, the Department sent Petitioner notice that Petitioner's 1994 Annual Statement was determined to be incomplete because Petitioner had failed to file its MD&A Report by April 1, 1995 and that the Department would bill Petitioner a statutory penalty of \$10.00 per day upon the Department's receipt of the requested information pursuant to A.R.S. §20-223(D).
- 6. On November 21, 1995, the Department sent Petitioner a second notice that Petitioner's 1994 Annual Statement was incomplete because Petitioner had failed to file its MD&A Report that was due April 1, 1995. In that notice, Petitioner was again notified that the statutory penalty of \$10.00 per day of delinquency was in effect pursuant to A.R.S. §20-223(D).
- 7. On November 28, 1995, Petitioner filed its MD&A Report with the Department.
- 8. On December 5, 1995, the Department sent a letter to the Petitioner wherein the Department notified Petitioner that it had been assessed a penalty fee of \$1,620.00 based upon Petitioner's delinquent filing of its MD&A Report.
- 9. On December 19, 1995, Petitioner filed with the Department a Request for Hearing In Re Penalty Assessment.
- 10. A Notice of Hearing concerning this matter was issued on January 18, 1996.
- 11. The Department contends that the Petitioner was required to file its 1994 Annual Statement with the Department by March 31, 1995 pursuant to A.R.S. §20-223(A). The Department further contends that Petitioner was required to file its MD&A Report with the Department by April 3, 1995¹ pursuant to A.R.S. §20-223 and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") Annual Statement Instructions.
- 12. Although no issue was raised as to the timeliness of Petitioner's Annual Statement, an issue of timeliness was raised as to Petitioner's MD&A Report filing.
- 13. The NAIC Annual Statement Instructions which were in effect in March 1995 provided that "Insurers are required to file a supplement to the Annual Statement titled 'Management Discussion, and Analysis' by April 1 each year."
- 14. Petitioner claims that the MD&A Report is not an "accounting practice and procedure" contemplated under A.R.S. §20-223 and that the failure to file the

- 2 -

¹ April 1 1995 was a Saturday, therefore, the filing deadline for the MD&A Report for 1995 was the following Monday, April 3, 1995 pursuant to A.A.C. R20-6-113.

 MD&A Report by April 3, 1995 does not provide grounds for the Department to impose a penalty fee against Petitioner.

- 15. The Department issued a Calendar Year 1994 Annual Tax Packet and Annual Filing Instructions for Domestic Life and Disability Reinsurers which contained a Management Discussion and Analysis Filing Transmittal Form. In Part B, paragraph 4 of the 1994 Annual Statement Filing Instructions it states that "All insurers shall file a Management Discussion and Analysis Report in accordance with current NAIC Annual Statement Instructions not later than April 1."
- 16. Petitioner presented an excerpt of transcript of proceedings of In the Matter of Shawmut Life Insurance Company, Insurance Docket No. 95-064 (Exhibit B), wherein Gerald Torticill testified that the Department may be sending companies fines for failing to file the MD&A Report. Further, in that proceeding, Mr. Torticill answered "no" when asked "Would it be your understanding, sir, that if a company fails to file the MD&A Report that you could fine them?" Petitioner claims that such testimony supports its position that the Department does not have authority to fine for the late filing of MD&A Report and offered the aforementioned testimony of Mr. Torticill in support of that position.
- 17. The excerpt of transcript of the aforementioned Shawmut Life hearing does not indicate any foundation having been laid as to Mr. Torticill's authority, nor was such foundation laid at the instant hearing. Additionally, no foundation was laid as to Mr. Torticill's background and experience regarding MD&A Report filings with the Department or Mr. Torticill's legal background and ability to answer questions regarding the Department's authority to fine. Further, regardless of Mr. Torticill's understanding of the Department's authority, the issue of whether the Department has the authority to fine for late filing of an MD&A Report is purely a legal question, not one of opinion or fact. Mr. Torticill's testimony in the aforementioned Shawmut hearing appears to be a personal opinion that is not binding nor controlling as to whether the Department has the authority to fine for late filing of an MD&A Report.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Petitioner received notice of this proceeding as prescribed by A.R.S. §§20-163 and 41-1061.
- 2. The Director has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to A.R.S. §§20-161, 20-220 and 20-223.

- 3. While reinsurers licensed pursuant to A.R.S. §20-1081 et seq. are exempted from the requirement in A.R.S. §20-234 of filing an Annual Statement with the NAIC, the Arizona Legislature, through A.R.S. §20-223, incorporated the NAIC Instructions. During the relevant time frame, the NAIC Instructions required all insurers to file an MD&A Report as part of the Annual Statement. There is no exception made within A.R.S. §20-223 or the NAIC Instructions that would relieve Petitioner from that filing requirement. Therefore, Petitioner was required to file an MD&A Report as part of its Annual Statement filing with the Department pursuant to A.R.S. §20-223.
- 4. The Department has the authority to fine Petitioner a penalty fee not to exceed \$25.00 for each day of delinquency in the filing of an Annual Statement, which includes the MD&A Report. The Department's assessment of \$1,620.00 based upon a \$10.00 per day of delinquency in the filing of Petitioner's MD&A Report is in accordance with A.R.S. §20-223(D).
- 5. Grounds exist pursuant to A.R.S. §20-220 for the Director to revoke or suspend the Petitioner's certificate of authority and/or impose a civil penalty.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

Based upon the above, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge recommends that the Director find that Petitioner was required to file an MD&A Report as part of the Annual Statement and that the Department's assessment of a penalty fee of \$1,620.00 against the Petitioner for late filing of the MD&A Report was authorized by statute and appropriate and that no further penalty be imposed.

DATED this 26th day of April, 1996.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

LEWIS D. KOWAL

Administrative Law Judge

- 4 -

COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 247 day of April, 1996, to:

Chris Herstam, Director Arizona Department of Insurance 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018

Chris Crawford